

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/862,755	05/21/2001	Ye Li	1999-0759	8169	
75	90 05/27/2005		EXAM	INER	
S. H. Dworetsky AT&T Corp, Room 2A-207			LE, AMANDA T		
One AT&T Way			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bedminster, NJ 07921			2634		
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005	DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		î d				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/862,755	LI, YE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Amanda T. Le	2634			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	ovember 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-17,21-23,27 and 28 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17, 21-23, 27 and 28 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicantly documents have been received in Receiver (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔀 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-17, 21-23, 27, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heiskala.

Heiskala discloses a method and apparatus for estimating channel frequency responses in an OFDM system comprising the following claimed limitations:

In claims 1, 7, 21, "transmitting a set of first training symbols using a first communication channel" (Fig. 4, 402, 404, 406, col. 6, lines 29-31), "transmitting one or more sets of second training symbols using one or more second communication channels" (Fig. 4, 408, 410, 412, col. 6, lines 29-31), "one or more second sets of training symbols are based on the set of first training symbols" (col. 7, lines 5-10), "a cross-correlation estimate between the first set of training symbols and at least one of the sets of second training symbols is essentially zero" (col. 7, lines 45-48);

In claim 2, "the first set of training symbols is transmitted using an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing technique" (Abstract);

In claim 3, "each cross-correlation estimate between the first set of training symbols and every set of the one or more sets of second training symbols is essentially zero" (col. 7, lines 45-48);

In claim 4, "each cross-correlation estimate between every two sets of training symbols of the one or more sets of second training symbols is essentially zero" (col. 7, lines 60-65);

In claims 5, 6, 22, "at least one set of the one or more sets of second training symbols is substantially identical to the set of first training symbols with a respective phase shift" (col. 7, line 53);

In claims 8-10, 16, 27, "receiving the set of first training symbols" (Fig. 4, 416); "receiving at least one of the one or more sets of second training symbols" (Fig. 4, 416), "characterizing two or more communication channels based on the set of first training symbols and the one or more second sets of training symbols" and "does not use a matrix inversion" (col. 7, lines 20, 35-40); "a cross-correlation estimate between the first set of training symbols and at least one of the sets of second training symbols is essentially zero" (col. 7, lines 45-48);

In claim 11, "the first set of training symbols is transmitted using an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing technique" (Abstract);

In claim 12, "each cross-correlation estimate between the first set of training symbols and every set of the one or more sets of second training symbols is essentially zero" (col. 7, lines 45-48);

In claim 13, "each cross-correlation estimate between every two sets of training symbols of the one or more sets of second training symbols is essentially zero" (col. 7, lines 60-65);

In claims 14, 15, 27, "at least one set of the one or more sets of second training symbols is substantially identical to the set of first training symbols with a respective phase shift" (col. 7, line 53);

In claim 17, "the set of first training signals is transmitted using a first transmit device" (Fig. 4, 402, 404, 406, col. 6, lines 29-31), "the one of the one or more sets of second training signals is transmitted using a second transmitting device" (Fig. 4, 408, 410, 412, col. 6, lines 29-31),

In claim 23 and 28, "the set of second training symbols is related to the set of first training symbols according to: t2 [n, k]=t1 [n, k] $W_K^{-kl}_0$ " (col. 7, lines 60-65, when K= $4kl_0$).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/24/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants assert that, "The present invention utilizes matrix theory and mathematics to determine the cross-correlation energy between two sets of training symbols (i.e., a cross-correlation estimate). When the cross-correlation between the sets of training symbols is essentially zero, channel estimation can be achieved without a matrix inversion. This effectively simplifies the method of determining the channel estimation." Nonetheless, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not

recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

Further, Applicants argue that, "Heiskala fails to teach or to suggest a method or apparatus for communicating, where a cross-correlation estimate between the first set of training symbols and at least one set of second training symbols is essentially zero, as positively claimed by the Applicant." Applicants' attention is directed toward Heiskala's teachings (col. 7, lines 52-62) of training symbol B1 is orthogonal to training symbol A1. It is therefore, by property, the cross correlation between A1 and B1 are zero. Accordingly, Heiskala inherently discloses the claimed limitation of "the cross-correlation between two different sets of said training symbols is zero."

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda T. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-3052. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30 A.M. through 7:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMANDAT.LE PRIMARY EXAMINER